



## Review FBIM- M\_...

### PART A:

#### SECTION I

|                                         |       |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|
| Name and surname of reviewer            |       |
| E-Mail                                  |       |
| Phone                                   |       |
| Manuscript No.                          | M_... |
| Title                                   |       |
| Author / Authors                        | -     |
| Sent to reviewer                        |       |
| The expected date of receipt of reviews |       |

### PART B: *Reviewer only*


#### SECTION II: Comments of manuscript

|                        |  |
|------------------------|--|
| <b>General comment</b> |  |
| Introduction           |  |
| Methodology            |  |
| Results                |  |
| Discussion             |  |
| Findings               |  |

**SECTION II (continue)** (Click on the box next to the appropriate answer and check in one of the categories, or delete unnecessary in the event that you are unable to check the desired box)

|                              |                                                 |                              |                             |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Bibliography /<br>References | Literature is relevant                          | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
|                              | Citation is in accordance with the requirements | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Figures                      | Figures are appropriate                         | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Tables:                      | Tables are appropriate                          | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |





**SECTION III**

Please rate it from one of: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Correct) (4 = Poor)

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Originality                      |  |
| Scientific contribution          |  |
| Technical quality of the article |  |
| Clarity of presentation          |  |
| Depth of study                   |  |

**SECTION IV – Recommendations for publication:**

(Please select one of the options with an X)

|                                                                                                                                              |  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Accept the article "as it is"                                                                                                                |  |
| The work requires minor repairs                                                                                                              |  |
| The work requires small-scale changes                                                                                                        |  |
| The work requires large-scale changes                                                                                                        |  |
| The work is good but it is not for publishing in the MEST Journal. It could be published in another journal, for example (make the proposal) |  |
| Work has to be rejected because (please specify particular reason)                                                                           |  |

**SECTION V: Additional comments**

This part of the review is confidential and will be available only to editors of the FBIM Transactions. If you have any special comment to the editors you can enter it here.